

Exhibit A

JS 44C/SDNY
REV.
10/01/2020

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

PLAINTIFFS

CLAUDIO DAREZZO

DEFENDANTS

RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC. AND 84 HOYT LLC,

ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Bradly G. Marks
The Marks Law Firm, PC
155 E 55th Street

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE)
(DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)

42 U.S.C. 12181, et Seq., of the ADA, New York City/State Human Rights Laws,- Declarative and Injunctive Relief

Has this action, case, or proceeding, or one essentially the same been previously filed in SDNY at any time? No ☐ Yes ☐ Judge Previously Assigned

If yes, was this case Vol. ☐ Invol. ☐ Dismissed. No ☐ Yes ☐ If yes, give date _____ & Case No. _____

IS THIS AN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION CASE?

No ☒

Yes ☐

(PLACE AN [x] IN ONE BOX ONLY)

NATURE OF SUIT

TORTS

CONTRACT

- ☐ 110 INSURANCE
- ☐ 120 MARINE
- ☐ 130 MILLER ACT
- ☐ 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT
- ☐ 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT
- ☐ 151 MEDICARE ACT
- ☐ 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (EXCL VETERANS)
- ☐ 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS
- ☐ 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS
- ☐ 190 OTHER CONTRACT
- ☐ 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 196 FRANCHISE

REAL PROPERTY

- ☐ 210 LAND CONDEMNATION
- ☐ 220 FORECLOSURE
- ☐ 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT
- ☐ 240 TORTS TO LAND
- ☐ 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY

PERSONAL INJURY

- ☐ 310 AIRPLANE
- ☐ 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER
- ☐ 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY
- ☐ 340 MARINE
- ☐ 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 350 MOTOR VEHICLE
- ☐ 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY
- ☐ 362 PERSONAL INJURY - MED MALPRACTICE

ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES

CIVIL RIGHTS

- ☐ 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS (Non-Prisoner)
- ☐ 441 VOTING
- ☐ 442 EMPLOYMENT
- ☐ 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS
- ☐ 445 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES - EMPLOYMENT
- ☒ 446 AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES -OTHER
- ☐ 448 EDUCATION

PERSONAL INJURY

- ☐ 367 HEALTHCARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PERSONAL INJURY/PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 365 PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY
- ☐ 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY

PERSONAL PROPERTY

- ☐ 370 OTHER FRAUD
- ☐ 371 TRUTH IN LENDING

PRISONER PETITIONS

- ☐ 463 ALIEN DETAINEE
- ☐ 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE
- ☐ 530 HABEAS CORPUS
- ☐ 535 DEATH PENALTY
- ☐ 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER

PRISONER CIVIL RIGHTS

- ☐ 550 CIVIL RIGHTS
- ☐ 555 PRISON CONDITION
- ☐ 560 CIVIL DETAINEE CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT

FORFEITURE/PENALTY

- ☐ 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY
- ☐ 21 USC 881
- ☐ 690 OTHER

PROPERTY RIGHTS

- ☐ 820 COPYRIGHTS
- ☐ 830 PATENT
- ☐ 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION
- ☐ 840 TRADEMARK

LABOR

- ☐ 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
- ☐ 720 LABOR/MGMT RELATIONS
- ☐ 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT
- ☐ 751 FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT (FMLA)
- ☐ 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION
- ☐ 791 EMPL RET INC SECURITY ACT (ERISA)

IMMIGRATION

- ☐ 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION
- ☐ 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS

ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES

BANKRUPTCY

- ☐ 422 APPEAL
- ☐ 28 USC 158
- ☐ 423 WITHDRAWAL
- ☐ 28 USC 157

SOCIAL SECURITY

- ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)
- ☐ 862 BLACK LUNG (923)
- ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
- ☐ 864 SSID TITLE XVI
- ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS

- ☐ 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
- ☐ 871 IRS-THIRD PARTY
- ☐ 26 USC 7609

OTHER STATUTES

- ☐ 375 FALSE CLAIMS
- ☐ 376 QUI TAM
- ☐ 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT
- ☐ 410 ANTITRUST
- ☐ 430 BANKS & BANKING
- ☐ 450 COMMERCE
- ☐ 460 DEPORTATION
- ☐ 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED & CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT (RICO)
- ☐ 480 CONSUMER CREDIT
- ☐ 485 TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
- ☐ 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV
- ☐ 850 SECURITIES/ COMMODITIES/ EXCHANGE
- ☐ 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
- ☐ 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS
- ☐ 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS
- ☐ 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
- ☐ 896 ARBITRATION
- ☐ 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT/REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION
- ☐ 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES

Check if demanded in complaint:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DO YOU CLAIM THIS CASE IS RELATED TO A CIVIL CASE NOW PENDING IN S.D.N.Y. AS DEFINED BY LOCAL RULE FOR DIVISION OF BUSINESS 13? IF SO, STATE:

DEMAND \$ _____ OTHER _____ JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

Check YES only if demanded in complaint

JURY DEMAND: ☐ YES ☒ NO

NOTE: You must also submit at the time of filing the Statement of Relatedness form (Form IH-32).

(PLACE AN *x* IN ONE BOX ONLY)

ORIGIN

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from (Specify District) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation (Transferred) ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge
- ☐ a. all parties represented ☐ b. At least one party is pro se. ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation (Direct File)

(PLACE AN *x* IN ONE BOX ONLY)

BASIS OF JURISDICTION

**IF DIVERSITY, INDICATE
CITIZENSHIP BELOW.**

- ☐ 1 U.S. PLAINTIFF ☐ 2 U.S. DEFENDANT ☒ 3 FEDERAL QUESTION ☐ 4 DIVERSITY
(U.S. NOT A PARTY)

CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)

(Place an [X] in one box for Plaintiff and one box for Defendant)

CITIZEN OF THIS STATE	PTF [] 1	DEF [] 1	CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY	PTF DEF [] 3 [] 3	INCORPORATED and PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE	PTF DEF [] 5 [] 5
CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE	[] 2	[] 2	INCORPORATED or PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	[] 4 [] 4	FOREIGN NATION	[] 6 [] 6

PLAINTIFF(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)

DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)

DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS UNKNOWN

REPRESENTATION IS HEREBY MADE THAT, AT THIS TIME, I HAVE BEEN UNABLE, WITH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, TO ASCERTAIN THE RESIDENCE ADDRESSES OF THE FOLLOWING DEFENDANTS:

COURTHOUSE ASSIGNMENT

I hereby certify that this case should be assigned to the courthouse indicated below pursuant to Local Rule for Division of Business 18, 20 or 21.

Check one: THIS ACTION SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO: ☐ WHITE PLAINS ☒ MANHATTAN

DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD	ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN THIS DISTRICT [] NO [] YES (DATE ADMITTED Mo. _____ Yr. _____) Attorney Bar Code #
RECEIPT #		

Magistrate Judge is to be designated by the Clerk of the Court.

Magistrate Judge _____ is so Designated.

Ruby J. Krajick, Clerk of Court by _____ Deputy Clerk, DATED _____.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (NEW YORK SOUTHERN)

Clear Form

Save

Print

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

CLAUDIO DAREZZO,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC. AND
84 HOYT LLC,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-9090

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)* RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC. - C/O NAGEEB SAEIDI - 400 E 11TH STREET,
NEW YORK, NY, UNITED STATES, 10009

84 HOYT LLC- 51 E HOUSTON ST, UNIT OFFICE, NEW YORK, NY 10012

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:
Bradly G. Marks- The Marks Law Firm, PC
155 E 55th Street, Suite 4H
New York, NY 10022 T: (646)770-3775

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 10/17/2023

/S/ V. BRAHIMI

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

CLAUDIO DAREZZO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMPLAINT

Civil Action No.

1:23-cv-9090

RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC.
AND 84 HOYT LLC,

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff, CLAUDIO DAREZZO, (“Plaintiff”), by and through his counsel, The Marks Law Firm, P.C., hereby files this Complaint and sues RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC., a domestic business corporation, and 84 HOYT LLC, a domestic limited liability company, both registered in the State of New York, (hereinafter collectively “Defendants”), for a) injunctive relief, b) compensatory relief, and c) attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12181, et. Seq., of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”), the New York Human Rights Law (“NYCHRL”), and the New York State Human Rights Law (“NYSHRL”) and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et. Seq. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1343.

2. Venue is proper in this Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(B) in that all events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the State of New York.

3. The violative Premises and the events giving rise to this lawsuit is located at 180 1st Avenue New York, NY 10009 (hereinafter “Premises”).

4. Venue is proper in this Court as the premises is located in the State of New York, County of New York.

5. The Defendants, RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC. AND 84 HOYT LLC are each authorized to conduct and are conducting business within the State of New York.

6. Upon information and belief, RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC. is the lessee and/or operator of the real property, and the owner of the improvements where the Premises is located which is the subject of this action. Defendant also maintains and controls the Premises.

7. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, Defendants’ Premises is a place of public accommodation in that it is an establishment which provides goods and services to the public.

8. Upon information and belief, 84 HOYT LLC is the lessee and/or operator of the real property, and the owner of the improvements where the Premises is located which is the subject of this action. Defendant also maintains and controls the Premises.

9. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, the building and/or Premises which is the subject to this action is a public accommodation covered by the ADA and which must be in compliance therewith.

10. The remedies provided by the NYSHRL against discrimination are not exclusive and state administrative remedies need not be exhausted in connection with suits brought under the Federal Civil Rights Act.

11. At the time of Plaintiff's visit to the Premises, and prior to the instant action, Plaintiff was, and still is, a resident of the City and State of New York. Plaintiff is a paraplegic, which constitutes a "qualified disability" under the ADA of 1990. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility.

12. On May 29, 2023, Plaintiff last attempted to enter Defendants' Premises (centrally located marijuana dispensary with unique selection) which is approximately 1 mile from his home, to browse and purchase their signature selection of marijuana products for medical purposes related to his disability. However, because of the architectural barriers at the entrances of the store, such as, inter alia, a steep step at the entrance, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the facilities at Defendants' Premises again, which is subject to this instant litigation.

13. Plaintiff lives a few blocks away from the Defendants' Premises and usually explores the neighborhood and its new dispensaries. Plaintiff tried to go to Defendants' Premises after May 29, 2023 and again thereafter, but continues to be denied access each time due to the existence of the same barriers to access, and therefore suffered an injury in fact. Due to the above-mentioned barriers to access, Plaintiff was unable to enter the Premises and was forced to find another place to go. Plaintiff is deterred from going to the Premises based on his knowledge of the barriers to access that exist and intends on immediately returning to the Premises to browse and purchase their signature marijuana edibles and gummies once the barriers are removed and the Premises is ADA Compliant.

14. intentional violations impede upon Plaintiff's, and other similarly situated disabled individuals' right to travel free of discrimination. Defendant has knowingly and intentionally engaged in its discriminatory conducts, simply to save a few dollars.

COUNT I - VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

15. The ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability. The Act guarantees reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities to ensure they are not the subject of discrimination.

16. The ADA and 2004 ADA/ABA Accessibility Guidelines for Buildings and Facilities (36 CFR Part 1191, Appendices B and C) along with 28 CFR Part 36, Subpart D, the New Construction and Alterations portion of Title III (all hereinafter referred to as the “2010 Standards” or “Accessibility Standards”) dictate that property owners and operators of commercial premises being used as “commercial establishments” are responsible for complying with these Federal Accessibility Standards.

17. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and therefore alleges that the Premises has begun operations and/or undergone substantial remodeling, repairs and/or alterations since January 26, 1990.

18. Defendants have discriminated, and continues to discriminate, against the Plaintiff, and others that are similarly situated, by denying full and equal access to and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and/or accommodations at Defendants’ Premises, in violation of these Accessibility Standards.

19. The Plaintiff has been unable to, and continues to be unable to, enjoy full and equal safe access to, and the benefits of, all the accommodations and services offered at Defendants’ Premises.

20. Plaintiff visited Defendants’ Premises with the intention of utilizing Defendants’ facilities, but was denied access to the Premises, and therefore suffered an injury in fact. In addition, Plaintiff continues to reside in New York City and continues to desire to visit the

Premises in the future, but will suffer future harm in that he is unable to access the Premises and continues to be discriminated against due to the architectural barriers which remain at the Premises, all in violation of the ADA, and New York State and City Human Rights Law.

21. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. §12134(a), On July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of Attorney General, promulgated Federal Regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA, known as the American with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (hereinafter “ADAAG”), 28 C.F.R. Part 36, under which said Department may obtain civil penalties of up to \$55,000 for the first violation and \$110,000 for any subsequent violation.

22. The Defendants’ Premises is in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12181 et. Seq., ADA and the 2010 Standards, and is discriminating against the Plaintiff as a result of inter alia the following specific violations:

- a. Due to the step leading to the entrance, the Property lacks an access route from site arrival points such as the public streets and sidewalks to the accessible entrance in violation of section 206.2.1 and Section 404.2.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- b. The total vertical rise of accessible route leading from public sidewalk is greater than ½ (one-half) inch due to the steps leading to the entrance and thus, the accessible route is not ramped in compliance with section 405 or 406, this is a violation of section 303.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- c. There is not at least one accessible entrance to each tenant space in the building that complies with section 404 of the 2010 ADAAG standards which is a violation of section 206.4.5 of the 2010 ADAAG Standards.

- d. Failure to provide at least one of each type of sales counter and/or service counter complying with section 904.4 of 2010 ADAAG Standards in violation of section 227.3 of 2010 ADAAG Standards.
- e. Failure to provide accessible merchandise/merchandise displays.
- f. Required directional and informational signage are not provided in violation of Section 216 of the 2010 ADAAG Standards.
- g. Fails to provide an accessible restroom.
- h. Defendants fail to adhere to policies, practices and procedures to ensure that all facilities are readily accessible to and usable by disabled individuals.

23. Upon information and belief, there are other current violations of, inter alia, the ADA at Defendants' Premises, and only once a full inspection is done can all said violations be identified.

24. To date, the architectural barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist, the removal of which is readily achievable and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA or to create access to the Premises.

25. Pursuant to ADA, 42 U.S.C. §1201 et. Seq. and the Accessibility Standards, the Defendants were required to make the Premises, a place of public accommodation, accessible to person with disabilities since January 28, 1992. To date, the Defendants have failed to comply with this mandate.

26. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant the Plaintiff injunctive relief; including an order to alter the Premises to make them readily accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by ADA, and closing the Subject Facilities until the requisite modifications are completed.

COUNT II – VIOLATIONS OF THE NEW YORK CITY HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

27. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and re-alleges each and every allegation contained hereinabove in paragraphs “1” through “26” inclusive of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.

28. The New York City Human Rights Law provides:

- a. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place or provider of public accommodation because of the actual or perceived...disability...of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof...to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and or denied to any person on account of...disability...

NYC Admin. Code §8-107(4)(a).

29. Defendants are in violation of the New York City Human Rights Law by denying the Plaintiff full and safe access to all of the benefits, accommodations and services of the Premises.

30. The Defendants’ unlawful discriminatory conduct constitutes willful and wanton violations of the Administrative Code for which Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages. Admin. Code §8-502.

COUNT III – VIOLATIONS OF THE NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

31. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and re-alleges each and every allegation contained hereinabove in paragraphs “1” through “30” inclusive of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if hereinafter set forth at length.

32. The New York State Human Rights Law provides:

- a. It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent, or employee of any place of public accommodation...because of the...disability...of any person, directly, or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities, or privileges thereof...to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of...disability...
NYS Exec. Law §296 (2)(a).

33. Defendants' Premises is a place of public accommodation as defined in the New York State Human Right Law.

34. The Defendants have further violated the New York State Human Rights Law by being in violation of the rights provided under the ADA.

35. Defendants are in violation of the New York State Human Rights law by denying the Plaintiff full and safe access to all of the benefits, accommodations and services of the Premises.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

36. The Plaintiff demands compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by proof, including all applicable statutory damages and fines, for violations of their civil rights under New York State Human Rights Law and City Claw, including compensatory damages contemplated by §297(4)(c).

37. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant the Plaintiff's injunctive relief; including an order to alter the Premises to make them readily

accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, the New York City Human Rights Law, and the New York State Human Rights law, and closing the Subject Facilities until the requisite modifications are completed.

38. Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit as provided by state and federal law;

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff hereby demands judgment against the Defendants joint and severally and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- a. The Court declares the Premises owned, operated, leased, controlled, and/or administered by the Defendants are in violation of the ADA, the New York City Human Rights Law, and of the New York State Human Rights Law;
- b. The Court enter an Order requiring the Defendants to alter their facilities and amenities to make them accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA and by NYCHRL, and the NYSHRL;
- c. The Court enter an order directing the Defendants to evaluate and neutralize their policies, practices and procedures toward persons with disabilities, for such reasonable time to as to allow the Defendants to undertake and complete corrective procedures to the Premises;
- d. The Court award compensatory damages, including all applicable statutory damages and fines, to Plaintiff;
- e. The Court award reasonable attorney fees, all costs (including but not limited to court costs, expert fees, etc.) and other expenses of suit to the Plaintiff; and
- f. The Court award such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
October 15, 2023

THE MARKS LAW FIRM, PC

By: 

Bradly G. Marks

155 E 55th Street, Suite 4H

New York, NY 10022

T:(646) 770-3775

F: (646) 770- 2639

Brad@markslawpc.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CLAUDIO DAREZZO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RECREATIONAL PLUS LEV INC. AND 84 HOYT LLC,

Defendants,

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

THE MARKS LAW FIRM, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
155 E 55th Street, Suite 4H
New York, NY 10022